

Short Logic Quiz (3)

name: _____

[date]

max. 3 points

- a) The rules \exists -Elim and \forall -Intro differ with regard to what may be written as the final line of the boxed subproof. How?
[1pt – all or nothing]

- b) The following (non-annotated) proof contains a very strange and illegitimate step. Which one is it and what is wrong with it?
[1pt – all or nothing]

1. $\forall x(G(x) \rightarrow F(x))$
2. $\forall x(F(x) \rightarrow H(x))$
3. $a Ga$
4. $Ga \rightarrow Fa$
5. $Fa \rightarrow Ha$
6. $\exists x(Fx \rightarrow Hx)$

- c) Pick the right option [1pt – all or nothing]

1. When opening a boxed subproof, one can introduce any name that picks out some object in the domain of discourse.

True False

2. From " $\neg(F(a) \wedge F(b))$ " one can derive, in a few simple steps: $\exists x \exists y [\neg F(x) \vee \neg F(y)]$

True False

3. 1) One cannot do an existential elimination within a universal introduction. 2) One can do a universal introduction within an existential elimination.

both true 1: true, but 2: false 1: false, but 2: true both false